

Code-Based Cryptography

1. Error-Correcting Codes and Cryptography
2. McEliece Cryptosystem
3. Message Attacks (ISD)
4. **Key Attacks**
5. Other Cryptographic Constructions Relying on Coding Theory

4. Key Attacks

1. Introduction
2. Support Splitting Algorithm
3. **Distinguisher for GRS codes**
4. Attack against subcodes of GRS codes
5. Error-Correcting Pairs
6. Attack against GRS codes
7. Attack against Reed-Muller codes
8. Attack against Algebraic Geometry codes
9. Goppa codes still resist

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

→ n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector
Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

A basis for L_k is $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{k-1}\}$

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

A basis for L_k is $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{k-1}\}$

Evaluation

Map:

$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$

$$L_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

$$f(X) \longmapsto \mathbf{b} * f(\mathbf{a}) \\ = (b_1 f(a_1), \dots, b_n f(a_n))$$

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$.
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

A basis for L_k is $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{k-1}\}$

Evaluation

Map:

$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ f(X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{b} * f(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= (b_1 f(a_1), \dots, b_n f(a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

The Generalized Reed-Solomon code (GRS)

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \left\{ \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(f) \mid f \in L_k \right\}$$

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$. \implies **code locators**
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

A basis for L_k is $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{k-1}\}$

Evaluation

Map:

$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ f(X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{b} * f(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= (b_1 f(a_1), \dots, b_n f(a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

The Generalized Reed-Solomon code (GRS)

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \left\{ \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(f) \mid f \in L_k \right\}$$

Generalized Reed-Solomon codes

- n, k nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$.
- $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$. \implies **code locators**
- $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i . \implies **column multipliers**

Polynomial Vector

Space:

$$L_k = \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k} = \{f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \mid \deg(f) < k\}$$

L_k is a vector space of dimension k over \mathbb{F}_q

A basis for L_k is $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^{k-1}\}$

Evaluation
Map:

$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n \\ f(X) &\longmapsto \mathbf{b} * f(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= (b_1 f(a_1), \dots, b_n f(a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

The Generalized Reed-Solomon code (GRS)

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \left\{ \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(f) \mid f \in L_k \right\}$$

Some Properties - GRS codes

Parameters - GRS are optimal codes

The $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is an $[n, k]_q$ code with minimum distance $d = n - k + 1$

Some Properties - GRS codes

Parameters - GRS are optimal codes

The $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is an $[n, k]_q$ code with minimum distance $d = n - k + 1$

The dual code of a GRS code is a GRS code

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^\perp = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^\perp)$$

Some Properties - GRS codes

Parameters - GRS are optimal codes

The $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is an $[n, k]_q$ code with minimum distance $d = n - k + 1$

The dual code of a GRS code is a GRS code

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^\perp = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}^\perp)$$

GRS codes under transformations

There exists

- $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $c_i \neq c_j$ for all $i \neq j$ such that $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 1$
- $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $d_i \neq 0$ for all i .

such that: $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$

McEliece based on GRS codes



Generalized Reed-Solomon codes



H. Niederreiter.

Knapsack-type cryptosystems and algebraic coding theory.

Problems of Control and Information Theory, 15(2):159-166, 1986.

Parameters	Key size	Security level
$[256, 128, 129]_{256}$	67 ko	2^{95}

McEliece based on GRS codes



Generalized Reed-Solomon codes



H. Niederreiter.

Knapsack-type cryptosystems and algebraic coding theory.

Problems of Control and Information Theory, 15(2):159-166, 1986.

Parameters	Key size	Security level
$[256, 128, 129]_{256}$	67 ko	2^{95}



Attack against this proposal:



V. M. Sidelnikov and S. O. Shestakov.

On the insecurity of cryptosystems based on generalized Reed-Solomon codes.

Discrete Math. Appl., 2:439-444, 1992.

Star Product

Given two vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ we denote by

$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b}$ the componentwise product:

$$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n)$$

Star Product

Given two vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ we denote by

$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b}$ the **componentwise product**:

$$\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n)$$

Star Product of Codes

Let A and B be \mathbb{F}_q -codes of length n .

The **star product code** denoted by $A * B$ is:

$$A * B = \langle \{ \mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{a} \in A \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \in B \} \rangle$$

When $B = A$, then $A * A$ is called the **square** of A and is denoted by A^2

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition: Dimension of the Square Code

Let A and B be \mathbb{F}_q -codes of length n with $(\mathbf{a}_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$ as bases, respectively. Then:

1. $K(A * B) \leq K(A)K(B)$

2. $K(A^2) \leq \binom{K(A) + 1}{2}$

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition: Dimension of the Square Code

Let A and B be \mathbb{F}_q -codes of length n with $(\mathbf{a}_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$ as bases, respectively. Then:

$$1. K(A * B) \leq K(A)K(B)$$

$$2. K(A^2) \leq \binom{K(A) + 1}{2}$$

Proof:

Note that:

1. $A * B$ is generated by the $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{b}_j$'s with $i \in I$ and $j \in J$
2. A^2 is generated by the $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{a}_j$ with $i \leq j$

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition: Dimension of the Square Code

Let A and B be \mathbb{F}_q -codes of length n with $(\mathbf{a}_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$ as bases, respectively. Then:

$$1. K(A * B) \leq K(A)K(B)$$

$$2. K(A^2) \leq \binom{K(A) + 1}{2}$$

Complexity of computing A^2 is $\mathcal{O}(K(A)^2 n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof:

Note that:

1. $A * B$ is generated by the $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{b}_j$'s with $i \in I$ and $j \in J$
2. A^2 is generated by the $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{a}_j$ with $i \leq j$

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition:

Let A be an $[n, k]_q$ code.

The complexity of computing the code A^2 is $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q .

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition:

Let A be an $[n, k]_q$ code.

The complexity of computing the code A^2 is $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof:

1. Computing all of the $\binom{k(A) + 1}{2}$ generators of A^2 , i.e. $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{a}_j$ with $i \leq j$

→ Cost: $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q

Dimension of the Square Code

Proposition:

Let A be an $[n, k]_q$ code.

The complexity of computing the code A^2 is $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof:

1. Computing all of the $\binom{k(A) + 1}{2}$ generators of A^2 , i.e. $\mathbf{a}_i * \mathbf{a}_j$ with $i \leq j$

→ Cost: $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q

2. Apply Gaussian elimination to a $\binom{k + 1}{2} \times n$ matrix

→ Cost: $\mathcal{O}(k^2 n^2)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_q

Distinguisher - Square Code

Let A be an $[n, k]_q$ random linear code.

We expect that the dimension of A^2 should be of order:

$$K(A^2) \sim \min \left\{ \binom{k+1}{2}, n \right\}$$

Theorem:

Let A be a random linear code of dimension k such that $k = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$. Then,

$$\Pr \left(K(A^2) < \binom{k+1}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$



J.C. Faugère, V. Gauthier-Umaña, A. Otmani, L. Perret and J.P. Tillich.

A distinguisher for high-rate McEliece cryptosystems.

IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 59(10):6830-8644, 2013.

Distinguisher - Square Code - GRS codes

Proposition:

If $k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Then,

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^2 = \text{GRS}_{2k-1}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} * \mathbf{b})$$

Proof:

“ \Rightarrow ” Let $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$.

Then, there exists $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]_{<k}$ such that

$$\mathbf{c}_1 * \mathbf{c}_2 = \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(f) * \text{ev}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(g) = (\mathbf{b} * f(\mathbf{a})) * (\mathbf{b} * g(\mathbf{a})) = (\mathbf{b} * \mathbf{b}) * (fg)(\mathbf{a})$$

with $\deg(fg) \leq 2k - 2$

Thus, $\mathbf{c}_1 * \mathbf{c}_2 \in \text{GRS}_{2k-1}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} * \mathbf{b})$

“ \Leftarrow ” The converse is proved **similarly**.

Distinguisher - Square Code - GRS codes

Proposition:

If $k > \frac{n+1}{2}$, then we can apply the previous property to the dual of $\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$

Proof:

1. Recall that, **the dual of a GRS code is a GRS code:**

$$\underbrace{\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^\perp}_A = \text{GRS}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$$

2. Moreover, if $k > \frac{n+1}{2}$, then:

$$K(A) = n - k < n - \frac{n+1}{2} < \frac{n+1}{2}$$

3. Applying the previous Proposition:

$$\left(\text{GRS}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^\perp\right)^2 = \text{GRS}_{2K(A)-1}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c} * \mathbf{c})$$

Distinguisher - Square Code - GRS codes

1. If \mathcal{C} is a **random** linear code of length n , with high probability:

$$K(\mathcal{C}^2) = \min \left\{ \binom{K(\mathcal{C}) + 1}{2}, n \right\}$$

2. If \mathcal{C} is a **GRS** code

$$K(\mathcal{C}^2) = \min \{2K(\mathcal{C}) - 1, n\}$$



I. Márquez-Corbella, E. Martínez-Moro and R. Pellikaan.

The non-gap sequence of a subcode of a generalized Reed-Solomon code.

Designs, Codes and Cryptography, volume 66, Issue 1-3, 317-333, 2013.



C. Wieschebrink.

Cryptanalysis of the Niederreiter Public Key Scheme Based on GRS Subcodes.

PQCrypto 2010, LNCS, volume 6061, 61-72, 2010.

4. Key Attacks

1. Introduction
2. Support Splitting Algorithm
3. Distinguisher for GRS codes
4. **Attack against subcodes of GRS codes**
5. Error-Correcting Pairs
6. Attack against GRS codes
7. Attack against Reed-Muller codes
8. Attack against Algebraic Geometry codes
9. Goppa codes still resist