3. Message Attack (ISD)

- 1. From Generic Decoding to Syndrome Decoding
- 2. Combinatorial Solutions: Exhaustive Search and Birthday Decoding
- 3. Information Set Decoding: the Power of Linear Algebra
- 4. Complexity Analysis
- 5. Lee and Brickell Algorithm
- 6. Stern/Dumer Algorithm
- 7. May, Meurer, and Thomae Algorithm
- 8. Becker, Joux, May, and Meurer Algorithm
- 9. Generalized Birthday Algorithm for Decoding
- 10. Decoding One Out of Many

Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

Allow error patterns of the form
$$e = \frac{n-k}{|weight w - p||weight p|}$$

At each iteration, we try the $\binom{k}{p}$ possible values for the right hand side block

(Prange Algorithm corresponds to p = 0)

Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w

Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w

repeat:

pick a permutation matrix P

Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w



Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w



Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w



Idea: relax Prange algorithm to amortize the cost of the Gaussian elimination

input: $H \in \{0, 1\}^{(n-k) \times n}$, $s \in \{0, 1\}^{n-k}$, integer w > 0, a parameter $p, 0 \le p \le w$ output: $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $eH^T = s$ and wt(e) = w



 $\mathcal{K} = n(n-k) + \binom{k}{p}$ (Gaussian elimination + enumeration)

For an error pattern
$$e = \frac{n-k}{weight w - p} \frac{k}{weight p}$$
, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}{\binom{n}{w}}$

For an error pattern
$$e = \underbrace{n-k}_{\text{weight } w - p} \underbrace{k}_{\text{weight } p}$$
, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}{\binom{n}{w}}$
 $\mathcal{N}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}$ and $\mathcal{K} = n(n-k) + \binom{k}{p}$

For an error pattern
$$e = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}n-k & k \\ \hline weight w - p & weight p\end{array}}_{weight p}$$
, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}{\binom{n}{w}}$
 $\mathcal{N}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}$ and $\mathcal{K} = n(n-k) + \binom{k}{p}$

Never gains more than a polynomial factor over Prange algorithm

$$\mathsf{WF}_{\mathsf{LB}}(p) = \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \cdot \mathcal{K} = \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}} \left(1 + \frac{n(n-k)}{\binom{k}{p}}\right) > \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}} > \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w}} = \frac{1}{n(n-k)}\mathsf{WF}_{\mathsf{Prange}}$$

For an error pattern
$$e = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}n-k & k \\ \hline weight w - p & weight p\end{array}}_{weight p}$$
, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}{\binom{n}{w}}$
 $\mathcal{N}_{\infty} = \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}\binom{k}{p}}$ and $\mathcal{K} = n(n-k) + \binom{k}{p}$

Never gains more than a polynomial factor over Prange algorithm

$$\mathsf{WF}_{\mathsf{LB}}(p) = \mathcal{N}_{\infty} \cdot \mathcal{K} = \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}} \left(1 + \frac{n(n-k)}{\binom{k}{p}}\right) > \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w-p}} > \frac{\binom{n}{w}}{\binom{n-k}{w}} = \frac{1}{n(n-k)}\mathsf{WF}_{\mathsf{Prange}}$$

Except for extravagant parameters, p = 2 is optimal

3. Message Attack (ISD)

- 1. From Generic Decoding to Syndrome Decoding
- 2. Combinatorial Solutions: Exhaustive Search and Birthday Decoding
- 3. Information Set Decoding: the Power of Linear Algebra
- 4. Complexity Analysis
- 5. Lee and Brickell Algorithm
- 6. Stern/Dumer Algorithm
- 7. May, Meurer, and Thomae Algorithm
- 8. Becker, Joux, May, and Meurer Algorithm
- 9. Generalized Birthday Algorithm for Decoding
- 10. Decoding One Out of Many