Code-Based Cryptography

Error-Correcting Codes and Cryptography



1. Error-Correcting Codes and Cryptography

- 1. Introduction I Cryptography
- 2. Introduction II Coding Theory
- 3. Encoding (Linear Transformation)
- 4. Parity Checking
- 5. Error Correcting Capacity
- 6. Decoding (A Difficult Problem)
- 7. Reed-Solomon Codes
- 8. Goppa Codes
- 9. McEliece Cryptosystem

1. Main disadvantage of GRS codes:

Consider $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ of length *n*, then $n \leq q$

1. Main disadvantage of GRS codes:

Consider $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ of length *n*, then $n \leq q$

2. New codes from GRS: How to construct codes over small alphabets with the same features as GRS codes?

1. Main disadvantage of GRS codes:

Consider $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ of length *n*, then $n \leq q$

- 2. **New codes from GRS:** How to construct codes over small alphabets with the same features as GRS codes?
 - Construct a GRS over a large extension of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{F}}$

1. Main disadvantage of GRS codes:

Consider $GRS_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ of length *n*, then $n \leq q$

- 2. **New codes from GRS:** How to construct codes over small alphabets with the same features as GRS codes?
 - Construct a GRS over a large extension of $\ensuremath{\mathbb{F}}$
 - $\mathcal{C}_{NEW} = GRS \cap \mathbb{F}$

Alternant codes

→ $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$ → $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i.

Alternant codes

$$\operatorname{Alt}_r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = (\operatorname{GRS}_r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}))^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{F}_q$$

Alternant codes

→ $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support → $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, ..., b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$ with $b_i \neq 0$ for all i. \implies column multipliers

Alternant codes

$$\operatorname{Alt}_r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = (\operatorname{GRS}_r(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}))^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{F}_q$$

Alternant codes - Parameters

Proposition

Alt_r(\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}) is an $[n, k, d]_q$ code with

$$k \ge n - mr$$
 and $d \ge r + 1$

Proof: Recall that

$$\operatorname{GRS}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^{\perp} = \underbrace{\operatorname{GRS}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})}_{[n, n-r, r+1]_{a^{m}} \operatorname{code}}$$

Hence, $Alt_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ can be defined by:

r parity check equations over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} *mr* parity check equations over \mathbb{F}_q \implies dim (Alt_{*r*}(**a**, **b**)) $\ge n - mr$

Moreover, the minimum distance of $Alt_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is at least the minimum distance of $GRS_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ since $Alt_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq GRS_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$

Decoding Alternant codes

$$Alt_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq GRS_{n-r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$$

Decoding Alternant codes $\operatorname{Alt}_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq \operatorname{GRS}_{n-r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ **Efficient decoding** algorithms which correctup to $t = |\frac{d-1}{2}|$

Decoding Alternant codes $\operatorname{Alt}_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \subseteq \operatorname{GRS}_{n-r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})$ **Efficient decoding** algorithms which correctup to $t = |\frac{d-1}{2}|$

We have an efficient decoding algorithm for $\operatorname{Alt}_r(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ which corrects up to $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$

→ $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ monic polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i$

→ $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ monic polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i \implies$ generator polynomial

→ $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ monic polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i \implies$ generator polynomial

Goppa Code

$$\Gamma(L, g) = \operatorname{Alt}_t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\operatorname{GRS}_t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{F}_q$$

with $\mathbf{a} = L$ and $b_i = \frac{1}{g(a_i)}$

→ $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ monic polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i \implies$ generator polynomial

Goppa Code

$$\Gamma(L, g) = \operatorname{Alt}_t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\operatorname{GRS}_t(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))^{\perp} \cap \mathbb{F}_q$$
with $\mathbf{a} = L$ and $b_i = \frac{1}{g(a_i)}$

Proposition: Alternative definition of Goppa codes

$$\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{g}) \iff R_{\mathbf{c}}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} rac{c_j}{X - lpha_j} \equiv 0 \mod \mathbf{g}(X)$$

$$\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L,g) \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i p_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, t-1$$

with $p_{i,j}$ such that $p_i(X) = p_{i,0} + p_{i,1}X + \dots + p_{i,t-1}X^{t-1} \equiv \frac{1}{X - \alpha_i} \mod g(X)$

$$\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L,g) \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \rho_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, t-1$$

with $p_{i,j}$ such that $p_{i}(X) = p_{i,0} + p_{i,1}X + \dots + p_{i,t-1}X^{t-1} \equiv \frac{1}{X - \alpha_{j}} \mod g(X)$
Thus
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,0} & \cdots & p_{n,0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{1,t-1} & \cdots & p_{n,t-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times n} \text{ is a parity-check matrix for } \Gamma(L,g)$$

$$\mathbf{c}\in \Gamma(L,g)\iff \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_{i,j}=0 \quad ext{for } j=1,\ldots,t-1$$

We claim that

$$p_i(X) \equiv -rac{g(X)-g(lpha_i)}{X-lpha_i}g(lpha_i)^{-1} \mod g(X)$$

1. $g(X) - g(\alpha_j)$ has α_j as zero. So $g(X) - g(\alpha_j)$ is divisible by $X - \alpha_j$

2.
$$p_i(X)(X - \alpha_j) = -(g(X) - g(\alpha_j))g(\alpha_j)^{-1} = 1 - g(X)g(\alpha_j)^{-1} \equiv 1 \mod g(X)$$

Let
$$g(X) = g_0 + g_1 X + \ldots + g_t X^t$$

 $p_i(X) \equiv -\frac{g(X) - g(\alpha_i)}{X - \alpha_i} g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \mod g(X)$
Result from the previous slide

Let
$$g(X) = g_0 + g_1 X + \dots + g_t X^t$$

$$p_i(X) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^t -g_j \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} X^l \alpha_i^{j-1-l} g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \mod g(X)$$

$$X^j - \alpha_i^j = X^{j-1} + \alpha_i X^{j-2} + \dots + \alpha_i^{j-1}$$

Let
$$g(X) = g_0 + g_1 X + ... + g_t X^t$$

$$p_i(X) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^t -g_j \alpha_j^{j-1-l} g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \right) X^l \mod g(X)$$

Rearrange the terms

Let $g(X) = g_0 + g_1 X + \ldots + g_t X^t$

ł

$$p_i(X) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^t -g_j \alpha_j^{j-1-l} g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \right) X^l \mod g(X)$$

Thus we have the following expressions for $p_{i,j}$:

$$\begin{cases}
p_{i,0} = -(g_1 + g_2 \alpha_i + \ldots + g_t \alpha_i^{t-1})g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \\
p_{i,1} = -(g_2 + g_3 \alpha_i + \ldots + g_t \alpha_i^{t-2})g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \\
\vdots \vdots \\
p_{i,t-1} = -g_t g(\alpha_i)^{-1}
\end{cases}$$

Let $g(X) = g_0 + g_1 X + ... + g_t X^t$

$$p_i(X) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{j=l+1}^t -g_j \alpha_j^{j-1-l} g(\alpha_i)^{-1} \right) X^l \mod g(X)$$

We find that H = CAB with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -g_t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -g_t & \dots & -g_3 \\ 0 & -g_t & -g_{t-1} & \cdots & -g_2 \\ -g_t & -g_{t-1} & -g_{t-2} & \cdots & -g_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} g(\alpha_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & g(\alpha_n)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since *C* is invertible

$$H = A \cdot B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{t-1} & \cdots & \alpha_n^{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g(\alpha_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & g(\alpha_n)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is another parity check matrix for $\Gamma(L, g)$

- → $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$
- → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[X]$ monic **separable** polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i$

Separable = All its roots are distinct = Square Free polynomial

- → $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support
- → $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[X]$ monic **separable** polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i \implies$ generator polynomial

Separable = All its roots are distinct = Square Free polynomial

→ $L = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ for all $i \neq j$ \implies support

→ $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[X]$ monic **separable** polynomial with

 $\deg(g) = t$ and $g(\alpha_i) \neq 0$, $\forall i \implies$ generator polynomial

Proposition

The binary Goppa code $\Gamma(L, g)$ has minimum distance *d* with $d \ge 2t + 1$

Proposition

Let g be a square free Goppa polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Then,

$$\Gamma(L,g)=\Gamma(L,g^2)$$

Proof:

1. Since g(X) divides $g^2(X)$ we have that $\Gamma(L, g^2) \subseteq \Gamma(L, g)$

2. Let
$$\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L, g)$$
 and define $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{c_i}$
It is easy to check that $\frac{f'(X)}{f(X)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_j}{X - \alpha_j} \equiv 0 \mod g(X)$
Since $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ has no common factors then $g(X)$ divides $f'(X)$.

Moreover, in \mathbb{F}_{2m} every derivative only have terms with binary

exponents, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(X) &= f_0 + f_2 X^2 + \dots + f_{2u} X^{2u} \\ &= (h_0 + h_1 X + h_u X^u)^2 \\ &= (h(X))^2 \text{ with } h_i^2 = f_i \text{ and } 2u \leq \deg(f'(X)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus g(X) divides $(h(X))^2$, but since g(X) is square free, we have that g(X) divides h(X) or, equivalently, $g^2(X)$ divides f'(X). And we can conclude that $\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L, g^2)$.

Proposition

Let g be a square free Goppa polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Then,

$$\operatorname{Alt}_r(L, \frac{1}{g(\alpha_i)}) = \Gamma(L, g) = \Gamma(L, g^2) = \operatorname{Alt}_{2r}(L, \frac{1}{g^2(\alpha_i)})$$

Proof:

1. Since g(X) divides $g^2(X)$ we have that $\Gamma(L, g^2) \subseteq \Gamma(L, g)$

2. Let
$$\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L, g)$$
 and define $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (X - \alpha_i)^{c_i}$
It is easy to check that $\frac{f'(X)}{f(X)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_j}{X - \alpha_j} \equiv 0 \mod g(X)$
Since $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ has no common factors then $g(X)$ divides $f'(X)$.

exponents, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f'(X) &= f_0 + f_2 X^2 + \dots + f_{2u} X^{2u} \\ &= (h_0 + h_1 X + h_u X^u)^2 \\ &= (h(X))^2 \text{ with } h_i^2 = f_i \text{ and } 2u \leq \deg(f'(X)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus g(X) divides $(h(X))^2$, but since g(X) is square free, we have that g(X) divides h(X) or, equivalently, $g^2(X)$ divides f'(X). And we can conclude that $\mathbf{c} \in \Gamma(L, q^2)$.

Proposition

Let *g* be a square free Goppa polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Then, the binary Goppa code $\Gamma(L, g)$ has minimum distance *d* with $d \ge 2t + 1$

Proof:

This Proposition is a consequence of the previous result:

 $\Gamma(L,g)=\Gamma(L,g^2)$

- The lower bound on the dimension uses that g(X) has degree r
- The lower bound on the minimum distance uses that $g^2(X)$ has degree 2r

1. Error-Correcting Codes and Cryptography

- 1. Introduction I Cryptography
- 2. Introduction II Coding Theory
- 3. Encoding (Linear Transformation)
- 4. Parity Checking
- 5. Error Correcting Capacity
- 6. Decoding (A Difficult Problem)
- 7. Reed-Solomon Codes
- 8. Goppa Codes
- 9. McEliece Cryptosystem